



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/001,737	12/31/1997	LEE MIZZEN	870109.408	7028

26161 7590 06/06/2003

FISH & RICHARDSON PC
225 FRANKLIN ST
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1645

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/001,737

Applicant(s)
Mizzen et al.

Examiner
S. Devi, Ph.D.

Art Unit
1645



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 19, 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 ~~is~~/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1, 9-18, 25-30, 32, 34, and 36 ~~is~~/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-8, 19-24, 31, 33, 35 and 37-39 ~~is~~/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1) A request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 07/09/02 (paper no. 16) has been entered.

Applicants' Amendment

2) Acknowledgment is made of Applicants' amendment filed 07/09/02 (paper no. 18) in response to the final Office Action mailed 01/02/02 (paper no. 15).

Status of Claims

3) Claims 3-8 and 20 have been amended via the amendment filed 07/09/02.

New claims 32-39 have been added via the amendment filed 07/09/02.

Claims 1-39 are pending.

Claims 1, 9-18, 25-30, 32, 34 and 36 have been withdrawn have from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b) and M.P.E.P. § 821.03.

Claims 2-8, 19-24, 31, 33, 35 and 37-39 are pending and are under examination.

Prior Citation of Title 35 Sections

4) The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Prior Citation of References

5) The references cited or used as prior art in support of one or more rejections in the instant Office Action and not included on an attached form PTO-892 or form PTO-1449 have been previously cited and made of record.

Drawings

6) The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 because of the reasons set forth by the Draftsperson in the attached Form PTO 948 (paper no. 23). Correction is required. Applicants are asked to note the changes effected 03 May 2001, particularly the changes to the 'Timing of Corrections':

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

A. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85; 1097 O.G. 36

New formal drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. The art unit number, application number (including series code) and number of drawing sheets should be written on the reverse side of the drawings. Applicant may delay filing of the new drawings until receipt of the "Notice of Allowability" (PTOL-37 or PTO-37). If delayed, the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability" to avoid extension of time fees. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) for filing the corrected drawings (but not for payment of the issue fee). The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

B. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the three month shortened statutory period set in the "Notice of Allowability" (PTO-37). Within that three month period, two weeks should be allowed for review of the new drawings by the Office. If a correction is determined to be unacceptable by the Office, Applicant must arrange to have an acceptable correction re-submitted within the original three month period to avoid the necessity of obtaining an extension of time with extension fees. Therefore, applicant should file corrected drawings as soon as possible. Failure to take corrective action within the set (or extended) period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Specification - Informalities

7) The instant specification is objected to for the following reasons:

(a) The use of the trademarks in the instant specification has been noted in this application. For example, see page 45, last paragraph and page 48, first full paragraph: "Tween 20"; page 46, line 11 and page 43, line 22: "Triton X-100"; and page 43, line 18: "Sepharose". Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the propriety nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. It is suggested that Applicants examine the whole specification and make necessary changes wherever trademark recitations appear.

(b) The address of the depository, ATCC, in line 13 on page 25 is incorrect. Effective 23 March 1998, ATCC has a new address: 10801 University Boulevard, Manassas, VA 20110-2209. Amendment to the specification is suggested to reflect this. It is suggested that Applicants examine the whole specification to make similar correction to the address, wherever it appears.

Rejection(s) Withdrawn

8) The rejection of claims 4, 9-24 and 31 maintained by the previous Examiner of record in paragraph 3 of the Office Action mailed 01/02/02 (paper no. 15) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, is withdrawn.

9) The rejection of claim 5 maintained by the previous Examiner of record in paragraph 2 of the Office Action mailed 01/02/02 (paper no. 15) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not having possession of the claimed invention, is withdrawn.

10) The rejection of claims 2, 9-10, 19-24 and 31 maintained by the previous Examiner of record in paragraph 5 of the Office Action mailed 01/02/02 (paper no. 15) under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srivastava *et al.* (WO 95/254923) in view of Hamel *et al.* (WO 96/40928) and Suzue *et al.* (*In: Stress-inducible Cellular Responses*. Freige *et al.* (Eds), pages 449-463, 1996), is withdrawn.

Rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

11) Claims 2-8, 19-24, 31, 33, 35 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

(a) Claim 2 is vague in the use of the abbreviated recitation "Hsp60". It is suggested that

the abbreviation be recited as a full terminology at first occurrence, with its abbreviated recitation retained in parentheses.

(b) Claims 4 and 5 are vague and confusing in the recitation "complement SEQ ID NO: 7 ...". It is unclear what is encompassed in this limitation, and how SEQ ID NO: 7 differs from "complement SEQ ID NO: 7".

(c) Claim 6 is vague in the recitation "polypeptide comprising a sequence" without distinctly reciting that the sequence is an amino acid sequence. For clarity and for distinctly claiming the subject matter, it is suggested that Applicants replace the recitation with --polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence--.

(d) Claim 3 is vague in the recitation "nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 7" (see part b). For clarity and in order to distinctly claim the subject matter of the instant invention, it is suggested that Applicants replace the recitation with --nucleic acid molecule comprising the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:--.

(e) Claim 35 is vague in the recitation "polypeptide comprises SEQ ID NO: 8" without distinctly reciting that the sequence is an amino acid sequence. For clarity and for distinctly claiming the subject matter, it is suggested that Applicants replace the recitation with --polypeptide comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 8--.

(f) Claim 33 is vague in the recitation "nucleotides 15-1652 of SEQ ID NO: 7". For clarity and in order to distinctly claim the subject matter of the instant invention, it is suggested that Applicants replace the recitation with --"nucleotides 15-1652 of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:7--.

(g) Similar criticism applies to claims 8 and claims 37-39.

(h) In claims 19 and 31, for proper antecedence, it is suggested that Applicants replace the recitation "an isolated nucleic acid molecule according to any one of claims 2-8" with --the isolated nucleic acid molecule according to any one of claims 2-8--.

(i) In claim 23, for proper antecedence, it is suggested that Applicants replace the recitation "a vector according to claim 19" with --the vector according to claim 19--.

(j) Claim 24 improperly depends from itself.

(k) Claims 7 and 20-22, which depend directly or indirectly from one of claims 2-8 or 19,

Serial No: 09/001,737
Art Unit: 1645

are also rejected as being indefinite because of the indefiniteness identified above in the base claim.

Rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

12) Claims 5, 6, 19-24, 31, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

It is noted that the claimed nucleic acid molecule does not exist independent of its function in encoding the Hsp60 polypeptide of *S. pyogenes*. The specification discloses diagnostic and vaccine intentions or applications. However, the instant specification fails to teach a single variant of a polypeptide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 8 that is encoded by a nucleotide sequence from *S. pyogenes* wherein the polypeptide is at least 95%, 97% or 98% homologous to SEQ ID NO: 8, or a nucleotide sequence variant comprising at least 25% of contiguous nucleotide bases of SEQ ID NO: 7 from nucleotides 15-1652 or a complement thereto, as claimed. Diagnostic applications minimally require antigen-antibody interaction, which instant claims do not require. The precise structure or relevant identifying characteristics of each DNA molecule that encodes a variant polypeptide or peptide, or a complement as claimed, wherein the polypeptide or peptide variant has the functional properties of the native Hsp60 polypeptide of *S. pyogenes*, can only be determined empirically by actually making every DNA molecule that encodes the polypeptide or peptide of the recited variability, i.e., the instantly recited at least 95%, 97% or 98% sequence identity, and testing each varied DNA molecule or a complement thereof to determine whether it encodes a polypeptide or peptide variant having the particularly disclosed functional properties of the native Hsp60 polypeptide of *S. pyogenes*. The

Written Description Guidelines state:

There is an inverse correlation between the level of predictability in the art and the amount of disclosure necessary to satisfy the written description requirement. For example, if there is a well-established correlation between the structure and function in the art, one skilled in the art will be able to reasonably predict the complete structure of the claimed invention from its function.

The description provided for SEQ ID NO: 7 and 8 is insufficient description for the various claimed variant species. The Hsp60 polypeptide of *S. pyogenes* has specific biological properties dictated by the structure of the polypeptide and the corresponding structure of the structural gene sequence which encodes it. There has to be some nexus between the structure of the gene sequence, the

structure of the polypeptide encoded, and the function of the encoded polypeptide. However, the function cannot be predicted from the modification of the structure of the gene and in the instant case, the DNA encoding the polypeptide variant. Applicants have not shown that modification of a reference nucleotide sequence encoding a reference polypeptide variant as claimed would automatically predict the production of a Hsp60 polypeptide variant of *S. pyogenes* as disclosed. The specification fails to describe the structure or relevant identifying characteristics of a representative number of DNA species encoding a representative number of species of *S. pyogenes* Hsp60 polypeptide variants of at least 95%, 97% or 98% sequence identity as claimed, sufficient to allow one skilled in the art to determine that the inventors had possession of the invention as claimed. With the exception of an isolated polynucleotide of the nucleotide sequence, SEQ ID NO: 7, encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 8, a skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of all the variant nucleotide sequences encompassed by the DNA molecules. Regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation, conception cannot be achieved until reduction to practice has occurred. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is a part of the invention and a reference to a potential method of isolating it. The nucleic acid variant itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016. See Written Description Requirement, *Federal Register*, vol. 66, no. 4, Notices, pp. 1099-1111, 05 January 2001).

Rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 102

13) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

14) Claims 4, 19-24 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 102(e) as being anticipated by Covacci *et al.* (US 6,077,706).

Covacci *et al.* taught an isolated nucleic acid comprising at least 24 contiguous nucleotides and showing 100% sequence homology with a fragment of the instantly recited SEQ ID NO: 7 from nucleotides 15-1652 (see the attached search report). That this nucleic acid molecule hybridizes to SEQ ID NO: 7 in the region from nucleotides 15-1652 under the recited hybridization conditions, or comprises a complement thereto, is inherent from the teachings of Covacci *et al.* The polynucleotide is taught to be double- or single-stranded (see paragraph bridging columns 5 and 6). An expression vector comprising the nucleic acid molecule and a promoter operably linked to the nucleic acid molecule, and mammalian host cells containing the vector are taught (see columns 8, 9 and 13). The nucleic acid molecule is present in water, i.e., a diluent (see lines 60 and 61 in column 25).

Claims 4, 19-24 and 31 are anticipated by Covacci *et al.*

15) Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamel *et al.* (WO 96/40928, already of record).

It is noted that the instant claim does not structurally define Hsp60 or the nucleic acid molecule encoding the same.

Hamel *et al.* disclosed a nucleotide sequence derived from *Streptococcus pyogenes* that encodes a heat shock protein (see abstract; page 75-77; and claims). The prior art nucleotide sequence derived from *Streptococcus pyogenes* encoding a heat shock protein is viewed as the same as the Applicants' claimed product, which is identified by Applicants with a different name, i.e., Hsp60.

Claim 2 is anticipated by Hamel *et al.*

16) Claims 8, 19-24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 102(b) as being anticipated by Labigne *et al.* (WO 94/26901).

Labigne *et al.* taught an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding a polypeptide comprising an at least 8 contiguous amino acid-long peptide that has 100% sequence identity with an at least 8 contiguous amino acid-long peptide of a streptococcal Hsp60 having the amino acid sequence in the region of 1-545 residues of SEQ ID NO: 8 (see the attached search report). An expression vector comprising the nucleic acid molecule and prokaryotic or eukaryotic host cells transformed by the expression vector are taught (see claims 10-16, 2-26; Figures and Sequence Listing). In spite of the fact that Labigne *et al.* do not expressly teach the

Serial No: 09/001,737

Art Unit: 1645

functional limitation recited by Applicants, since the prior art peptide encoded by the prior art nucleic acid molecule is structurally the same as the instantly recited peptide, the peptide is expected inherently to bind to a major histocompatibility complex molecule. The Office's position that Labigne's nucleic acid molecule and the peptide encoded by the same are the same as the Applicants' nucleic acid molecule and the encoded peptide is based upon the fact that every characteristic overlapping in Labigne's and Applicants' disclosure are the same.

Claims 8, 19-24 and 37 are anticipated by Labigne *et al.*

Objection(s)

17) Claims 3-8, 20, 33, 35 and 37-39 are objected to for the following reasons:

(a) Claims 3-8, 20, 33, 35 and 37-39 are objected for including non-elected subject matter.

(b) Claim 20 is objected to for missing a period at the end of the claim.

Remarks

18) Claims 2-8, 19-24, 31, 33, 35 and 37-39 stand rejected.

19) Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1641 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The transmission of such papers by facsimile must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989. The CM1 facsimile center's telephone number is (703) 308-4242. The RightFax number for submission of before-final amendments is (703) 872-9306. The RightFax number for submission of after-final amendments is (703) 872-9307.

20) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication(s) from the Examiner should be directed to S. Devi, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-9347. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail service. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7.15 a.m to 4.15 p.m. except one day each bi-week which would be disclosed on the Examiner's voice mail system.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909.

May, 2003

SD
S. DEVI, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

SEE ID 8

ID AAR67383 standard; Protein; 544 AA.

XX

AC AAR67383;

XX

DT 22-JUN-1995 (first entry)

XX

DE C. psittaci HypB gene product.

XX

KW Urease; immunogen; vaccine; diagnostic;
KW GroEL-like protein; *Helicobacter felis*.

KW
XX

OS Chlamydia psittaci.

XX

PN WO9426901-A.

XX

PD 24 - NOV - 1994 .

XX

PF 19-MAY-1994; 94WO-EP01625.

xx

PR 19-MAY-1993; 93EP-0401309.
63HQ-EP03259

PE

XX
INSTITUT NATIONAL D'HYGIENE ET DE MEDICINE EXPERIMENTALE.

PA

PA (INSP) INST PASTEUR.

x:

PI Ferrero R, Labigne R, Sacchini M.

X

DR WP1; 1995-008797/01.

X

PT DNA from *Helicobacter pylori* for
 27 develop prods. for detection, treatment and prevention of

2

xx Helicobacter infection

X

PS Disclosure, 119: 100-101
xy

CC

CC The sequence of the AAR67374 protein (given in AAR67374) was compared to that of other known
CC proteins from *Legionella pneumophila* (AAR67381), *Escherichia coli*
CC (AAR67382), *Chlamydia psittaci* (AAR67383), *Mycobacterium leprae*
CC (AAR67384) and human mitochondrial protein P1 (AAR67385), and regions
CC of homology were identified.

;

SQ Sequence 544 AA;

Query Match 4.4%; Score 24; SD 1.0
Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 3.7e-14;
Matches 24; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels 0; Gaps 0;

Qy 273 AVKAPGFGDRRKAMLEDIAILTGG 296

Db 275 AVKAPGFGDRRKAMLEDIAILTGG 298

RESULT 6

US-08-470-260-7

Sequence 7, Application US/08470260

Patent No. 6077706

GENERAL INFORMATION:

APPLICANT: Covacci, Antonello

APPLICANT: Bugnoli, Massimo

APPLICANT: Telford, John

APPLICANT: Macchia, Giovanni

APPLICANT: Rappuoli, Rino

TITLE OF INVENTION: Helicobacter Pylori Proteins Useful

TITLE OF INVENTION: for Vaccines and Diagnostics

NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 7

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

ADDRESSEE: Chiron Corporation

STREET: 4560 Horton Street

CITY: Emeryville

STATE: California

COUNTRY: USA

ZIP: 94608-2916

COMPUTER READABLE FORM:

MEDIUM TYPE: Floppy disk

COMPUTER: IBM PC compatible

OPERATING SYSTEM: PC-DOS/MS-DOS

SOFTWARE: PatentIn Release #1.0, Version #1.25

CURRENT APPLICATION DATA:

APPLICATION NUMBER: US/08/470,260

FILING DATE:

CLASSIFICATION:

PRIOR APPLICATION DATA:

APPLICATION NUMBER: US 08/256,848

FILING DATE: 21-OCT-1994

ATTORNEY/AGENT INFORMATION:

NAME: McClung, Barbara G.

REGISTRATION NUMBER: 33,113

REFERENCE/DOCKET NUMBER: 0316.001

TELECOMMUNICATION INFORMATION:

TELEPHONE: (510) 601-2708

TELEFAX: (510) 655-3542

INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 7:

SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

LENGTH: 1838 base pairs

TYPE: nucleic acid

SEQ ID NO: 7

```

; STRANDEDNESS: single
; TOPOLOGY: linear
; MOLECULE TYPE: DNA (genomic)
US-08-470-260-7

```

Query Match 31.8%; Score 528.6; DB 3; Length 1838;
Best Local Similarity 58.9%; Pred. No. 4,7e-146;
Matches 966; Conservative 0; Mismatches 664; Indels 9;

		300:	Conservative	0:	Mismatches	664:	Indels	9:	Gaps
QY	13	ATATGCGAAAAGAAATCAAAATTTTCACGACAGATCGCGGTCTGCCATGGTGC	CGCGGAGTTG	72					
Db	56	AAATGCGAAAAGAAATCAAAATTTTCAGATAGTGC	GAGAAACCTTTTATT	TTGAAGGCGTGA	115				
QY	73	ATATGTTACGAGATACCGTCAAAATGACCGTGGTCTTAAAGGGCCAAATGTTGTTCTTG	132						
Db	116	GGCACTCCATGACGCTGCAAAAGTAACCATGGGCCAAGAGCGCAGGAATGTATTGATCC	175						
QY	133	AAAAAGCTTTTGGTCTCCCTTAATTAATGACCGGGTAAACCTTGTCTAAAGTGGCTTCTAAA	252						
Db	176	AAAAAGCTATGGCGTCCACGATCACCAAGACGGCTGAGCGTGGCTAAAGAGATTG	235						
QY	193	AATTAGAAGATCATTTTGAACACATGGGAGCAAAATTTGGTGTCTGAAGTGGCTTCTAAA	252						
Db	236	AATTAAAGTTGCCACGATGAGTAAACATGGCGCTCAACTCGTTAAAGAGTAGCGACGCAAA	295						
QY	253	CCAATGATATTGCTGGTGATGGGACGACTACTGCAACAGTTTTTGACAAAGCCATTGTTCT	312						
Db	296	CCGCTGATGCTGCCGCGATGGCAGCACACAGCACCGCTGACTTATAGCATTTTATA	355						
QY	313	ATGAAGGACTAAAAATGTGACAGCAGGTGCTTAATCCAATGGTATCCGTCCAGGCAATTG	372						
Db	356	AAGAAGTTTGAGGAATATCACGGCTGGGGCTAACCCCTATTGAAGTGAACGAGCATGG	415						
QY	373	AAACAGCAACAGCAACAGCTGTTGAAGCGCTTGAAGGCCATTGCTCAACCTGTATTCTGGCA	432						
Db	416	ATAAAGCTGCTGAAGCGATCATTAATGAGCTTAAAAAGCGAGCAAAAAGTAGCGGTA	475						
QY	433	AGGAAGCTATTGCTCAGGTCGCTGAGTATCATCAGCTC---	TGAAAAGTTGGAGAGT	489					
Db	476	AAGAGAAATCACCAAGTGGGACCATTTCTGCAAACTCCGATCACAATATCGGGAAC	535						
QY	490	ATATCTCAGAAGCTATGAGCGCTGTGGGCAACGATGGTGTGATTACCATCGNAGATCTC	549						
Db	536	TCATCGCTGACGCTATGGAAAAGTTGGGTAAAGACGGCGTGATCACCGCTTGAGGAAGTA	595						
QY	550	GAGGTATGAAAACAGAACTTGAAGTGGTTGAAGGCATGCAATTTGACCGTGGTTACCTGT	609						
Db	596	AGGGCATTTGAAGATGAATTTGGATGTCGTAGAAGGCATGCAATTTGATAGAGCTACCTCT	655						
QY	610	CTCAATACATGCTCAGACAAATGAAAAAATGGTTGACAGCTTGAACACCCATTATCT	669						
Db	656	CCCTTATTTGTAAACAAACGCTGAGAAAATGACCGCTCAATTTGGATTAATGCTTACATCC	715						
QY	670	TAATCACGGATAAAAAGTGTCAACATCCAGACATTTTGGCCACTACTTGAGGAAGTTC	729						
Db	716	TTTTAACGGATAAAAAATCTCTAGCATGAAGACATCTCTCCCGCTACTAGAAAAACCA	775						
QY	730	TTAAACCAACCGTCCATTACTCATTTATGACAGATGATGTGGATGTCGAACGACTTCCAA	789						
Db	776	TGAAGAGGGCAACACCGCTTTTAAATCATCGCTGAAGACATTTAGGGCGGATTTTAACGA	835						
QY	790	CCCTTGTCTTGACAAAGATTCGTGGTACTTTCAATGTGGTTTCTGTCAAGCGCCAGGAT	849						
Db	836	CTCTAGTGGTGAATTAATTAAGAGGCGGTGTTGAATATCCGACGGTTAAAGCTCCAGCT	895						
QY	850	TTGGTGATCGCTGAAGCTATGCTTGAGACATTTGCTATCTTGACAGGTGGTACAGTGA	909						
Db	896	TTGGGACAGAGAAGAAATGCTCAAGACATCGCTATTTTAAACCGCGCTCAAGTCA	955						
QY	910	TTACAGAGGATCTAGGACTTGAATTTAAAAGATGCTACAAATCACAGCCCTTGGACAGGCTG	969						
Db	956	TTAGCGAAGAAATTTGGCTTGAGTCTAGAAAACGCTGAAGTGGAGTTTTTAGGCAAGCTG	1015						

Qy	970	CTAGATTACAGTTGATAAAGATAGACAGATAATTTGTTCAAGGTTTCAGGAAGTTTCAGAAG	1029
Db	1016	GAAGGATTTGTTGATTGACAAAGCAACACCACCATCTAGATGGCAAGGCCATAGCGATG	1075
Qy	1030	CTATTGCTAACCGTATTGCACTGATTAAATCCCAATTAGAAACACAACTTCTGACTTTG	1089
Db	1076	ATGTTAAAGCAAGTCGGCGAGATCAAAACCCAAATTCGAAGTACGACAAGCGATTATG	1135
Qy	1090	ACCGTGAANAACCTACAAGACGTTTGGCGAAATAGCTGGTGGTGTAGCTGTTATCAAG	1149
Db	1136	ACAAAGAAAATTTGCAAGAAAGATTGGCTAAACTCTCTGGCGGTGTGGCTGTGATTAAG	1195
Qy	1150	TAGGAGTCCACAGACAGACGCTTTAAAAGAAATGAAACTTCGCATTTCAGGATGCTCTAA	1209
Db	1196	TGGCGCTCGCAGTGAAGTGGAAATGAAAGAGAAAAGACCGGGTGGATGACCGGTGA	1255
Qy	1210	ATGCTACACGTCGACCGGTTGAAGAAGGTATGTTGCTGGTGGTGGAACAGCACTTATTA	1269
Db	1256	GCGGACTAAAGCGCGGTTGAAGAAGGCATTGTGATTGGTGGCGGTGCGGCTCTCATTC	1315
Qy	1270	CGGTTATTGAAAAGTAGCAGCTCTTTGAGCTTGAGGCGGATGATGCTACTGGAGCGTAACA	1329
Db	1316	GCAGCGCTCAAAAGT---GCAATTTGAAATTTGCACGATGATGAAAAAGTGGGCTATGAAA	1372
Qy	1330	TTGTGCTTCGTGCTCTAGAAGACGCTGTACGTCAAAATGCTTTAAATGCTGGGTACGAAG	1389
Db	1373	TCATCATGCGGCCATTAAAGCCCCATTAGCTCAAAATCGCTATCAACCGCTGGTTATGATG	1432
Qy	1390	GCCTCCGTAGTTATTACAAAGTTGAAAAACAGCCCTCGAGGAACAGGATTTAATGCTGCAA	1449
Db	1433	CGGCTGTGCTGTGAAATGAAGTAGAAAAACACGAAGGCACTTTTGGTTTTAACGCTAGCA	1492
Qy	1450	CAGGTGAGTGGGTGATATGATTATAAACAGGAATCATTTGACCAACAGGATTAACACAGAT	1509
Db	1493	ATGGCAAGTATGTGATATGTTTAAAGAAGGCATTTATGACCCCTTTAAAAGTAGAAGGA	1552
Qy	1510	CAGCGCTTCAAAATGCAGCTCTCTGTAGCTAGTCTTATTTTGAACAACAGAGCAGTTGTTG	1569
Db	1553	TCGCTACAAAATCGGTTTCGGTTTCAAGCCTGCTTTTAAACACAGAAAGCACCCT---	1610
Qy	1570	CTAATAAACCTGAACCAAGTACGCCAGCGCAGCAATGCCAGCAGGTATGGATCCAGGAA	1629
Db	1611	-GCATGAAATCAAGAAGAAAAAGCGACTCCGGCAATGCCTGATGGGTGGCATGGCGG	1669
Qy	1630	TGATGGTGGGATGGCGG	1648
Db	1670	GTATGGAGGCATGGCGG	1688